"I consider the demand nonsensical"

Professor Klaus Henning, Senior Partner umlaut transformation

Picture: P3 Osto

What do you think about the demand for more transparency? Should systems that use AI be marked?

I consider this demand to be nonsensical. The authors have not understood the cultural revolutionary character of AI systems. You'd have to label everything in a few years. That makes no sense and would be a pure job creation measure for corresponding institutions.

Do you also see any loopholes in the regulations? And if so, where?

Of course there are loopholes, but not in the operative

level within the existing ethical and legal framework. What we urgently need socially is a further development of the social and ethical value systems and, derived from this, a new legal system in which AI systems that contain strong AI - i.e. have their own memory and perceptive awareness - also become their own legal entities, just as companies are their own legal entities with their own liability.

In the regulatory question, we should focus our attention on the applications that pose a threat in the international context, namely the unbridled development of fully automatic warfare equipment that is already being built today with strong AI systems without any human decision-making component - even in Germany. Here I see an urgent need for international action.

02132020 **Interview on Blog Barrytown**